



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/14/93	10/17/93	PTOL-413	SO207

WEINSTEIN & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1100
THE CARRIAGE BUILDING
127 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
36	

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

06/16/93

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) DAVID PERRYMAN (appl. rep.) (3) STUART AARONSON (applicant)
(2) MATTHIAS KRAUS (applicant) (4) ARDIN MARSCHEL (Examiner)

Date of interview 6-29-93Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.Claims discussed: all pending in generalIdentification of prior art discussed: Varma as well as generally those listed in the office action of 2-9-93.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the evidence regarding the rejection based on §101. The scientific progression of thought leading to the instant invention as diagnostic of cancer was summarized by applicants. Mrs. Marschel indicated that clarification of the correlation between the instant invention & cancer diagnosis should be focused on how many negative controls can be enumerated due to the minimal no. cited, regarding §101. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) amplification.

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Ardin Marschel
Examiner's Signature

180 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 180 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

The CMI Fax Center number is (703) 308-4227.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-3894.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

AM

A. MARSCHEL:am

February 8, 1993

CH

CHRISTINE M. NUCKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 180